

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 28 OCT 2005

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference TRU TECH P-305 PCT	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US04/20016	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 June 2004 (23.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 June 2003 (23.06.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): B24B 21/18 and US Cl.: 451/444		
Applicant SMARSH, STEVEN G.		
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>3</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of ___ sheets.</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</li><li>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</li><li>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</li><li>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</li><li>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</li><li>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</li><li>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</li><li>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</li></ul>		
Date of submission of the demand 18 January 2005 (18.01.2005)	Date of completion of this report 16 September 2005 (16.09.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer <i>Sharon D. Greenfar</i> Joseph Hail Telephone No. (571) 272-4050	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/20016

## I. Basis of the report

## 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:\*

- ☒ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:  
pages 1-7 as originally filed  
pages NONE filed with the demand  
pages NONE filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ the claims:  
pages 8 and 9 as originally filed  
pages NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19  
pages NONE filed with the demand  
pages NONE filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ the drawings:  
pages 1-2 as originally filed  
pages NONE filed with the demand  
pages NONE filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:  
pages NONE as originally filed  
pages NONE filed with the demand  
pages NONE filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_

## 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language \_\_\_\_\_ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

## 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages None
- ☒ the claims, Nos. None
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig None

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).\*\*

\* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

\*\* Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US04/20016**V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement****1. STATEMENT**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>3-5 and 7</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1, 2, 6</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>3-5 and 7</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1, 2 and 6</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-7</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

**2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS**

Claims 1, 2 and 6 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Krenzer

Krenzer teaches a diamond dressing wheel, 30, for dressing a grinding wheel, 20, the dressing wheel including at least one interrupted cutting outer surface, 34, with at least one flat grinding surface, (the space between the cutting teeth, 34), adjacent to the interrupted cutting outer surface, the interrupted cutting surface being a plurality of tips extending outwardly from the outer surface, wherein the plurality of tips contacts the grinding wheel during the grinding operation, (col. 4, lines 3-55).

Claims 1-7 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus has industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 3-5 and 7 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the subject claimed matter.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----